

Altruism or Eurocentrism? The Energy Charter Treaty,  
direct foreign investment in energy resources and  
infrastructure and the Treaty's implications for Australia

Ludmilla Katherine Robinson

Doctor of Juridical Science


2003

### **CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of candidate

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. K. Robinson', written over a horizontal line.

Ludmilla Katherine Robinson

## **Acknowledgments**

It is impossible to undertake any major research project without assistance and support. Accordingly, my sincerest thanks and appreciation go to the following people for all of their efforts on my behalf during the research for and writing of this dissertation. It was a long, hard road, but I finally reached my destination “with [more than] a little help from my friends.”

To Professor Katrin Cutbush-Sabine, my principal supervisor and mentor, for her inspiration for the subject of this thesis and her subsequent support, encouragement and assistance.

To Professor Sam Blay, my associate supervisor, for his boundless patience in reading reams of writing, his invaluable editorial comments and encouragement.

Also, to Noela Grierson, Paul Holt and the support staff in the Faculty of Law for their guidance through the labyrinths of administration.

To Mr Malcolm Hilbery, barrister, whose judgment I appreciate and whose wisdom I respect. His belief in the inherent value of this thesis was constant.

Last, but never least, to Ron for his unfailing practical and moral support through this latest academic endeavour, a long-suffering friend indeed, and my son Lachlan, for his hugs, his smiles and much hilarity and philosophical discussion during the intervals between work and study.

## ABSTRACT

The Energy Charter Treaty (ECT) was initially promulgated as a European Union (EU) initiative designed to encourage economic development in Former Soviet Union (FSU) states through Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in the energy sector by providing the legal framework within which contracts for energy projects may be formed. This framework provides stringent protection for FDI in all aspects of economic activity in the energy sector, inter alia: competition policy; national/most favoured nation treatment for investors by host states; freedom of movement for capital and investments, including the repatriation of profits; transit of energy supplies and products; environmental issues and dispute resolution.

It is argued that, in reality, the ECT is not the result of altruism but the means by which the EU states are attempting to secure their energy supplies for the twenty first century. In the EU the demand for energy products, particularly electricity, oil and natural gas, is constantly increasing. Consequently, the genesis of the ECT is to be found in the EU's increasing need for secure sources of all energy products and materials as well as the oil crises of the 1970's, 80's and 90's. The Treaty is therefore very much the creature of the EU energy policies formulated during the last decades of the twentieth century.

Further, it is argued that in order to achieve EU energy objectives, the provisions of the ECT have a number of practical legal effects which, whilst providing full protection for investments in the energy sector, have significant adverse legal implications for a host state Contracting Party.

Firstly, the ECT attempts to short-cut the negotiation process for contracts in the energy sector by rendering implicit terms and conditions which are usually subject to negotiation and agreement between the parties. Secondly, the Treaty not only abrogates the doctrine of privity of contract by conferring a right of action against the host state upon project investors who were not parties to the subject agreement,

but also renders the host state Contracting Party vicariously liable for the actions of state and privileged enterprises and sub-national and regional autonomous governments and authorities.

In addition, the obligations imposed by the ECT make substantial inroads into the sovereignty of Contracting Parties by imposing the strict obligation upon all Contracting Parties to allow the transit of energy products and supplies across their territories: by requiring that Contracting Parties effect substantial changes to domestic legislation in commercial, corporate, taxation and property matters in order to conform to the investment protection regime provided for in the Treaty: by requiring substantial changes to Contracting Party domestic economic, environmental and competition policies and by mandating what might be called “lock-in” provisions which effectively prevent a host state from amending or instituting any legislation which might affect the FDI project or agreement.

Full compliance by a Contracting Party with the provisions of the ECT could impinge upon the rights and independence of regional and sub-national autonomous governments. It is also argued that the ratification of or accession to the ECT by a Contracting Party government is unnecessary to activate the provisions of the Treaty

The language used in the Treaty is imprecise and obscure with few definitions of key terms to assist in the interpretation of its provisions and this occasional unintelligibility is exacerbated by inconsistencies between the legal effects of certain Articles. Consequently, deficiencies in drafting render the ECT a difficult document to interpret, thereby increasing the possibility and complexity of disputes arising from the application of its provisions.

Australia, as a signatory to the Treaty, is subject to its provisions and is used to illustrate the effects of the Treaty upon host state sovereignty, governance and administration.

The Dissertation discusses the origins of the ECT in the energy policies of the EU, the international context and negotiation and formation of the Treaty itself, as well as describing the structure and functions of the ECT administrative institutions. Further, it analyses the provisions of the ECT and related documents. The analysis is made from a practical legal viewpoint, by examining the legal implications for Contracting Parties of each of the discrete provisions. It then proceeds to present a discussion of those legal aspects of FDI, particularly contract negotiation and risk mitigation which the ECT seeks to address, as well as examining the impact of the ECT upon the sovereignty of Contracting Parties, particularly sovereignty over natural resources and the rights of sub-national governments and indigenous peoples.

Finally, to demonstrate the legal effects of the ECT upon a Contracting Party, the Dissertation discusses the implications for Australia of being a signatory and it is suggested that Australia has much to lose and very little to gain from membership of the ECT regime.

The ECT as an international instrument offers spurious benefit to all signatories except those developed states seeking to secure their future energy supplies through the application of the ECT's provisions to energy sector agreements. Ostensibly, it offers both impoverished FSU states and resource wealthy, energy exporting nations, such as Australia, the inducement of economic advantage through FDI in energy resources. In reality, however, the potential disadvantages implicit in the stringency of the Treaty's investment protection provisions and the resultant negative legal implications for host state-Contracting Parties, far outweigh

any putative benefits which may flow from membership to the Energy Charter Conference.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>PART I: THE ENERGY CHARTER TREATY</b>	
<b>Chapter I : Historical Context of the ECT</b>	<b>7</b>
Introduction	7
History of the European Union	8
EU Energy Policies: Background	12
Policy Areas	17
The White Paper	18
Specific Policies Electricity	20
Oil	25
Natural Gas	26
Coal	28
Nuclear	30
Transit	43
Synergy	44
Conclusion	46
<b>Chapter II: Genesis of the ECT</b>	<b>49</b>
Introduction	49
International Context of the ECT	44
Inception of the ECT	56
Treaty Negotiations	62
Ratification	66
<b>Chapter III: Organisation and Administration</b>	<b>69</b>
Introduction	69
Establishment of the Administration	69
Institutions	71
Objectives	79
<b>PART II ANALYSIS OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE ECT</b>	
<b>Chapter IV: Introduction to Structure and Related Documents</b>	<b>91</b>
Introduction	91
ECT and Related Instruments	93



<b>Chapter V: Preamble, Parts I and II</b>	<b>98</b>
Background and Preamble	98
Part I: Definitions and Purpose	100
Part II: Commerce	106
Conclusion	123
<b>Chapter VI: Parts III, IV and V</b>	<b>125</b>
Part III: Investment Protection	125
Part IV: Miscellaneous Provisions	141
Part V: Dispute Resolution	153
Conclusion	160
<b>Chapter VII: Parts VI, VII and VIII</b>	<b>162</b>
Part VI: Transitional Provisions	162
Part VII: Structure and Institutions	165
Part VIII: Final Provisions	165
Protocol	171
Conclusion	172
<b>PART III: LEGAL EFFECTS OF THE ECT</b>	
<b>Chapter VIII: Legal Aspects of Foreign Direct Investment</b>	<b>173</b>
Introduction	173
Importance of FDI	173
Nature of FDI	176
Benefits and Disadvantages of FDI	178
Types of FDI	182
Project Finance	183
Pre-project implementation procedures	185
FDI risks	189
Risk allocation and mitigation	198
Contractual provisions	200
Governing Law Clauses	203
Renegotiation Clauses	204
Exchange Control Clauses	204
Government Guarantees	206
International Instruments	211
Insurance	213
Dispute Resolution	214
Conclusion	219

## **Chapter IX: Effects of the ECT upon Contract Negotiation and Risk Mitigation 221**

Introduction	221
Practical application of the ECT	223
Definitions in the ECT	224
Uncertainties and inconsistencies	229
Removal of impediments and Abridgement of negotiations	232
FDI risk and impediment mitigation provisions	236
Government policy, organisations and administration	237
Energy sector liberalisation	240
Transparency	246
Government guarantees and indemnities	247
Nationalisation/Expropriation	250
Succession obligations	250
Domestic legislation and the legal system	252
Environmental issues	254
Transit issues	260
Effects of the ECT upon the Negotiation Process	266
Changes of law provisions	268
National/MFN treatment	270
Conclusion	273
Table 1	275
Table 2	277

## **Chapter X: The ECT, Sovereignty and International Law 278**

Introduction	278
The traditional concept of Sovereignty	280
Legislative and Constitutional Sovereignty	296
Implicit requirements	298
Effects of implicit and explicit requirements	300
Sovereignty over territory	304

## **Chapter XI: Sovereignty Over Natural Resources 308**

Definition of natural resources	308
Permanent sovereignty	311
Rights conferred by permanent sovereignty	312
Duties concomitant with permanent sovereignty	319
Impact of ECT upon permanent sovereignty over natural resources	329
Conclusion	340
Table 3	344
Table 4	345
Table 5	346
Table 6	347

## **PART IV: IMPLICATIONS OF THE ECT FOR AUSTRALIA**

<b>Chapter XII: Introducing Australia and its energy resources</b>	<b>348</b>
<b>Chapter XIII: Australia and the ECT</b>	<b>355</b>
Introduction	355
The ECT: a dubious benefit	356
Australia's obligations as signatory	358
Commonwealth liabilities v State rights	365
Impact of the ECT upon environmental issues	376
Impact of the ECT upon indigenous land rights	384
Conclusion	389
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>392</b>
<b>APPENDIX I           ECT Ratification Status</b>	<b>401</b>
<b>APPENDIX II          List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>403</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>406</b>
<b>TABLE OF CASES</b>	<b>415</b>
<b>TABLE OF AUSTRALIAN LEGISLATION</b>	<b>416</b>
<b>TABLE OF INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS</b>	<b>417</b>